

PEER Environmental Technology Seminar

Oct. 11-12, 2006, Montpellier, France



Parallel session 1e synthesis

Developing Environmental Technologies

Eco-design, R and D, verification-testing and labelling, green purchasing, etc.

Developing Environmental Technologies

- ◆ Chair:
 - Daniel Froelich, ENSAM, France
- ◆ Reporter:
 - Klaus Rennings, Zew, Germany
- ◆ Speakers:
 - Annele Eerola, VTT, Finland
 - Emerging new technologies for clean production and water treatment
 - Francesco Degli Innocenti, Materbi, Italy
 - Plastic films in agriculture

Objectives of the discussion

- ◆ Define brakes and opportunities of environmental innovation in a win-win scheme



How to enhance and make more reactive the development and use of environmental technologies

- ◆ Fact : european companies are not always ready to take the advantages of environmental legislation:
 - Development of technical innovation is quite long:
 - Need of long term european environmental strategy
 - Encourage the company to collaborate with researchers at the early stage of the development
 - Validation process of technologies is very heavy:
 - Can we standardize in a voluntary way the validation process (ecolabel?)
 - Development of simple methods as a pre-screening
 - More European funding for high-risk projects (radical, disruptive technologies. More funding for demonstration projects.
 - Lack of information on environmental innovations for end users
 - Communication on EI has to be enhanced by EC

MARKET:

- ◆ Key Word : « Confidence » in eco-innovation:
 - Develop Communication on ecolabel
 - Develop Communication on eco-technologies

- ◆ Support the market
 - Green purchasing, public procurment dedicated to eco-innovation
 - Research on Social acceptability of european customers

SYNTHESIS

- ◆ Discussion about drivers of environmental technologies:
- ◆ Some argue that information of users accelerates the use of environmental technologies
- ◆ Others argue that incentives are only partly sufficient to use environmental technologies

Regulatory Push/Pull Options

- ◆ Option of voluntary Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) system
- ◆ Goal: Reference for new technology
- ◆ Experience: US is in advance of market introduction, although Europe is technology leader, because they have such a system
- ◆ Reason may be that they have a verification system
- ◆ Difference of validation systems: differences in involving stakeholders etc.
- ◆ Keyword is “confidence” of consumers, users

A practical example: biodegradable plastics

- ◆ Acceptance of farmers crucially depends on:
 - ◆ Guarantee level of biodegradability
 - ◆ No Toxicity
- Was confirmed, but a costly measure (took 6 years)
- Need for labels, standards

Research Needs

- ◆ Information exchange between EU projects, from the perspective of the end user
- ◆ Development of simple, rapid screening tests for environmental technologies (ETV light)
- ◆ Long term research should be independent of criterion competitiveness (which is short term)
- ◆ Certain amount of money should be given to high-risk projects (radical, disruptive technologies)